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#### Abstract

«An environment where the markup, styling and scripting is all S-expression based would be nice. » would have said the father of LISP, John McCarthy [1]. It's the goal which was given to the lambdaway project: 1) build a small wiki environment, (alphawiki), and 2) define a small syntax, (lambdatalk), which allows markup, styling and scripting based on S-expressions.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 The context

Web browsers can parse data (HTML code, CSS rules, scripts, ...) stored on the server side and display rich multimedia dynamic pages on the client side. Some HTML functions, (texarea, input, form, ...) associated with script languages (PHP, Javascript, Regular Expressions, ...) allow interactions with these data leading to web applications like blogs, wikis and CMS. Hundreds of engines have been built, managing files on the server side and interfaces on the client side, such as Wordpress, Wikipedia, Joomla,.... Syntaxes, like the de facto standard Markdown syntax, have been proposed to simplify and unify the markup and the styling but give no help on the scripting side. Some recent works have been done in this direction, for instance:

- Skribe [5] a text-processor based on the SCHEME programming language dedicated to writing web pages,
- HOP [6] a Lisp-like progamming language for the Web 2.0, based on SCHEME,
- BRL [7] based on SCHEME and designed for server-side WWW-based applications.
All of these projects are great and powerful. With the plain benefit of existing SCHEME implementations they make a strong and Lisp-like junction between the mark-up (HTML/CSS) and programming (JS, PHP,...) syntaxes. But these tools are devoted to developers, not to users or web-designers. It's the the lambdaway project's goal to give all of them a common environment.


### 1.2 The lambdaway project

Why such a project? What is the current state? Who is concerned?

### 1.2.1 why?

1) When Ward Cunningham [2] invented the concept of wiki in 1995, a kind of online text-editor, he had in mind the powerful functionalities of an amazing software created in 1987 for Apple ${ }^{\text {Inc }}$ by Bill Atkinson and Dan Winkler, HyperCard as the environment + HyperTalk as the language (both killed by Steve Jobs in 2001!). Nowadays, there are a lot of wiki engines which are well integrated in the browsers (the best known being Wikipedia) but the languages/syntaxes used for editing are far from being comparable to the HyperTalk powerful and user friendly language.
2) When Brendan Eich [3] created in 1995 the Javascript
language for the Netscape browser, he had in his mind the powerful functionalities of the LISP language created in 1958 by John Mc Carthy at MIT. But this language, which can be considered as a LISP in C clothes, is working at the low level of the browser which is far from being comparable to the HyperCard nice and user friendly environment.
The result is not actually the online HyperCard+HyperTalk Ward Cunningham was dreaming of!

### 1.2.2 what?

alphawiki is a small wiki coming with a small language, lambdaTalk:

1) alphawiki tries to fill the gap between the complex DOM and the user with a gentle interface similar to HyperCard's one : pages are cards with text containers, pictures and buttons.
2) lambdatalk tries to fill the gap between the complex Javascript language and the user, with a simple and unified notation coming from LISP, used for creating rich texts, structured pages and dynamic content.
3) The couple alphawiki and lambdatalk intends to be an easy to use online HyperCard+HyperTalk, very small and as most elegant as possible.

### 1.2.3 who?

alphawiki is intended to be a tool for the writer, the designer and the coder, in a collaborative work for creating and sharing on internet, complex chunks of rich, structured and dynamic data :

1) the writer - who may be a "newby" - is (supposed to be) an expert in his domain and he brings the information ; with a reduced set of tags, he can fill pages with minimally structured and enriched informations (titles, paragraphs, lists, images, bold, italic, ...)
2) the designer - who may be "smart" - strengths the information and gives it the best shape for the best communication; with the plain set of HTML/CSS functionalities, he can compose rich and sophisticated pages,
3) the coder - who may be a "ninja" - extends the functionalities as needed ; on the top, he can build new tools (a Table of Content, a worksheet, a paint or draw tool, math functions, a lisp console, ...)
The three levels share the same language, lambdaTalk, in an easy learning curve smoothing the frontiers between the writer, the designer and the coder.

### 1.3 In this paper

The 100 kb alphawiki's engine can be easily installed on any ISP. It's mainly built on two small "cylinders":

- 1) PHP.php: on the server side a 460 lines PHP code does everything about pages data, reading and writing, security, administration, ...
- 2) JS.js: on the client side a 1000 lines JS code manages the user interface and contains the code interpreter.

The present contribution will forget alphawiki and focus on the interpreter, lambdatalk, following two levels:

- 1) using lambdatalk's primitive functions, for web-designers,
- 2) coding lambdatalk, with user functions, for coders,
each one viewed on both sides,
- 1) the underlying Javascript code's analyzis,
- 2) some examples of user lambdatalk code.


## 2. USING LAMBDATALK (level 1)

An alphawiki website is made of several pages sharing the same appearance. Each page can be edited (by authorized users), the result being displayed in real-time, following the wiki-code evaluation. This is a simple example:


The wiki-code is a string made of plain text containing words and symbolic-expressions.
\{first \{first \{first \{first \{first rest\} \} \} \} \}
Symbolic-expressions are nested expressions of the form \{first rest \} where first is a word belonging to a dictionary (or a symbolic-expr returning such a word), and rest is a string of values and symbolic-expressions.
Words are ignored by the interpreter. Symbolic-expressions are evaluated according to a primitive function's dictionary. For instance in the following string, the dictionary's functions "b" (for bold) and " i " (for italic) will be applyed to the red words:

```
I am a simple word,
I am a {b fat word},
You are a {b {i fat italicized word}},
This is a product : {* 1 2 3 4 5 6}.
```

displays:

```
I am a simple word,
I am a fat word,
You are a fat italicized word,
This is a product : 720.
```

The choice of curly braces " $\}$ " instead of Lisp's standard round parentheses " () " comes from the wiki page context where round parentheses have to be used for other usages then bracketing symbolic-expressions.
The JS interpreter's single task is to translate the wiki-code in the HTML+CSS+JS syntaxes known by the browser. It will be up to the browser's engine to do the hard work to interpret and display the result. Lambdatalk and HTML sharing the same tree structure makes the task rather straightforward.
The next section describes the tiny but complete JS code (level 1), and two of the hundred of functions belonging to the primitive's dictionary.

### 2.1. JavaScript code (level 1)

The wiki-code is caught and evaluated by the evaluate() function working on a dictionary containing primitive functions.
In the approach followed by the majority of LISP interpreters, the input string is tokenized and transformed in a tree structure, generally a nested array. The tree structure is recursively walked through and the "leaves" are evaluated. In such an approach strings must be quoted. In a wiki context where the main content is made of plain text, such a constraint must be avoided. Thus, lambdatalk followed a different approach based on a single loop working on a single Regular Expression inspired from Steven Levithan [4].

### 2.1.1 the evaluate() function

```
function evaluate(str) {
    str = preprocessing( str );
```

```
str = eval_special_forms('if', s
    str = eval_sexprs( str );
    str = postprocessing( str );
    return str;
};
```

We ignore the preprocessing() and postprocessing() functions which don't bring here relevant informations, and the greyed lines which will come back at the level 2. The eval_sexprs() function's complete code can be written in this very compact shape:

```
function eval_sexprs(str) {
    while (str != (str = str.replace(
    /{([^s{}]*)(?:[s]*)([^{}]*)}/g,
        function(m, f, r) {
            return (dico.hasOwnProperty(f))?
                dico[f].apply(null,[r]):
                    '('+f+' '+r+')';
        })));
    return str;
```

\}

But, in order to better understand the eval_sexprs()'s mechanism, we are going to split it in three parts.

### 2.1.1.1 the main loop

The eval_sexprs() function is a single loop using a single Regular Expression to catch symbolic-expressions, and a do_apply() function to replace them by their value:

```
function eval_sexprs(str) {
while (str != (str =
            str.replace(loop_rex, do_apply)));
return str;
}
```


### 2.1.1.2. the main regular expression

The loop-rex Regular Expression is carefully designed to catch \{first rest\} symbolic-expressions :

```
var loop_rex =
    /{([^s{}]*)(?:[s]*)([^{}]*)}/g;
1) / start of the regexp
2) { begins with a {
3) ([^s{}]*) everything except "s{}": first
4) (?:[s]*) zero or several spaces
5) ([^{}]*) everything except "{}" : rest
6) } ends with a }
7) / end of the regexp
8) g go next
```


### 2.1.1.3. the do_apply() function

Given a symbolic-expression \{first rest\}, the do_apply() function applies first to rest, if it belongs to the dictionary called dico, or returns as it is the invalid symbolic-expression, if not.

```
function do_apply() {
    var first = arguments[1], rest = arguments[2];
    if (dico.hasOwnProperty(first))
        return dico[first].apply(null,[rest]);
    else
        return '('+ first +' '+ rest +')';
};
```


### 2.1.2. dictionary

The dictionary contains a hundred of primitive Javascript functions.
The complete dictionary's content can be seen in the file JS.js.

### 2.1.2.1 primitives

## HTML :

1) main tags:
@, div, span, a, [ul, ol, li], [dl, dt, dd], [table, tr, td], pre, a, img, canvas, iframe, embed, input, script, style
2) some others (sugar):
h1 to h6, p, b, i, u, center, br, hr, sup, sub, del, blockquote,..

## Math operators and functions:

1) math operators:
$>,<,>=,<=, \quad$, not, or, and, $+, *,-$,
/, \%,
2) JS Math object functions:
abs, acos, asin, atan, atan2, ceil, cos, exp, floor, log, random, round, sin, sqrt, tan, pow, min, max, PI, E,
alphawiki's custom extensions:
lib, date, note, note_start, note_end, show, lightbox, back, drag, listing, lisp, lc, sheet, forum, editable, require, include, first, rest, nth, length, serie, map, reduce,

MathML tags: they are included but are not recognized by Chrome
math, mrow, mfrac, mo, mi, mn, msup, msub, msubsup, msqrt, munder, mover,..

### 2.1.2.2 primitive's code

Here are given 3 illustrating examples.

```
1) the '*' math operator :
dico['*'] = function() {
    var args = arguments[0].split(' ');
    for (var r=1, i=0; i< args.length; i++)
        if (args[i] !== '')
            r *= args[i];
    return r;
};
2) HTML tags: this function builds functions
on the HTML tags set:
var htmltags = ['div','span',.., etc...];
for (var i=0; i< htmltags.length; i++) {
    dico[htmltags[i]] = function(tag) {
    return function() {
        var attr =
                    arguments[0].match(/@ @[sS]*?@ @/);
        if (attr == null)
            return '< '+tag+' >'+arguments[0]+
                            < /'+tag+' >';
        arguments[0] =
        arguments[0].replace( attr[0], '' )
                        .trim();
        attr = attr[0].replace(/^@ @/, '')
                .replace(/@ @$/, '');
        return '< '+tag+' '+attr+' >'+
                arguments[0]+'< /'+tag+' >';
    }
    }(htmltags[i]);
}
3) the '@' function catches all the
HTML tags attributes and CSS rules:
dico['@'] = function () {
    return '@ @' + arguments[0] + '@ @'
};
Note : actually there is no space inside
the previous couples @ @.
```


### 2.1.3. steps \& speed

According to the main evaluation loop, symbolic-expressions are evaluated from the leaves upto the root. Here are given basic
examples of the evaluation steps:

### 2.1.3.1. evaluating a sequence of words:

```
0: {center {b an {u underlined word}}}
1: {center {b an < u >underlined word< /u >}}
2: {center < b > an
    < u >underlined word< /u >< /b >}
3: < center > < b > an < u >underlined word
    < /u >< /b >< /center
```

This valid HTML expression will be given back to the browser's engine for evaluation.

### 2.1.3.2. evaluating a math expression:

```
0: {sqre {+ {* 3 3} {* 4 4}}}
1: {sqrt {+ 9 16}}
2: {sqrt 25}
3: 5
```

This value will be given to the browser's engine to display: $\mathbf{5}$

### 2.1.3.3. about evaluation speed

Alphawiki allows a rather comfortable realtime edition of a standard page. Tested on a MacBook Air:

|  | Pages's content in chars | Speed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A page containing about $\mathbf{5 , 0 0 0}$ chars | $\mathbf{1}$ to $\mathbf{2 ~ m s}$ |
| 3 | Pages between $\mathbf{2 0 , 0 0 0}$ and $\mathbf{5 0 , 0 0 0}$ chars | $\mathbf{5}$ to $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$ |
|  | A very heavy test page "Jules Verne, Ile <br> mystérieuse" built on a plain text of |  |
| 2 | $\mathbf{1 , 2 2 8 , 7 7 8}$ chars with a TOC of 62 <br> chapters (about 15360 lines $=300$ pages <br> of 50 lines) | about $\mathbf{7 0} \mathbf{~ m s}$ |

### 2.2. Lambdatalk code (level 1)

In this section we will focus on the lambdatalk user side and present some applications of the the built-in functionalities given by the JS interpreter level 1:

- to style and compose text,
- to compute mathematical expressions,
- to display images,
- to interact with dynamic elements,
- to build A4 formats, slides, posters,
- to create a forum, a spreadsheet,
- to call external JS code.


### 2.2.1. some text and image in a block

```
{div
{@ id="myId" style="
    position:relative;
    left:50px; top:10px;
    width:210px; height:50px;
    padding:5px; margin-bottom:-90px;
    background:cyan; border:1px solid;
    box-shadow:0 0 8px black;
    -moz-transform:rotate(-5deg);
    -webkit-transform:rotate(-5deg);"}
{img
    {@ src="data/amelie_sepia.jpg"
        height="50"
        title="Amélie Poulain"
        style="float:left; margin-right:20px;"}}
    {ul
        {li I am {b Amélie Poulain},}
    {li I live in {i Montmartre}, Paris,}
    {li I am fan of
        {a {@ href="http://www.pixar.com/"}PIXAR}}
    }
}
```



It must be noted that the function @ (pronounce "at") comes with HTML attributes and CSS rules written in their standard syntax, and NOT as symbolic-expressions. Using such a pure s-expression:

```
{@ {id myId} {style
    {text-align center} {border lpx solid}}}
```

instead of:

```
{@ id="myId" style="
    text-align:center; border:1px solid;"}
```

would respect more nicely the claimed notation's coherence but this would increase the dictionary with innumerable CSS rules and probably slow down the evaluation, would increase the difficulty to follow the future evolutions of HTML tags, attributes and CSS rules, and would be less convenient for beginners and for web-designers. This is a matter of debate and choice.

### 2.2.2. numbers \& booleans

lambdatalk offers the usual numeric computation capabilities that a pocket calculator would have. Following the same syntax \{first rest $\}$ where first is a math function $(+,-, *, /, \%$, sqrt, ...) and rest a sequence of numbers and/or valid s-expressions, any complex math expressions can be evaluated and inserted anywhere in the page. For instance writing in the editor frame:

```
{/ 1 2}
{* 1 2 3 4 5 6}
hypo(3,4) = {sqrt {+ {* 3 3} {* 4 4}}}
sin(9\mp@subsup{0}{}{\circ})={sin {/ {PI} 2}}
{/ {round {* {PI} {pow 10 4}}} {pow 10 4}}
{map sqrt {serie 2 4}}
{reduce * {serie 1 6}}
{< 1 2}
{= 1 1.000}
0: {or true false}
11: {and true false}
12: {b 1+2+3}, {+ 1 2 3} and {u {+ 1 2 3}}
```

displays:

```
1: 0.5
2: 720
3: hypo(3,4) = 5
4: sin(90') = 1
5: 3.1416
6: 1.4142135623730951 1.7320508075688772 2
7: 720
8: true
9: true
10: true
11: false
12: 1+2+3, 6 and 6
```

Note the similarity between words-based and numbers-based symbolic expressions, allowing an easy mixture of words and numbers everywhere in a page.

### 2.2.3. input \& script

The input and script functions make it possible to call JS scripts to bring interactivity in the wiki pages.

### 2.2.3.1. input

```
    {input
    {@ id="input"
        type="text"
        placeholder="Please, enter your name"
        onkeyup="
            getId('output').innerHTML=
'Hello '+getId('input').value+' !' "}}}
{h3 {@ id="output"}}
```

A script interacting with the user:
Amélie Poulain

## Hello Amélie Poulain!

### 2.2.3.2. script

```
{div
    {@ id="output"
        style="font:bold 1.3em courier; color:red;'
    }time:}
{input
    {@ type="submit" value="start" onclick="start(
}}
{input
    @ type="submit" value="stop" onclick="stop()"
} }
{script ••
function start() {
    document.chrono=window.setInterval(
        function() {
            getId('output').innerHTML='time:
            + LAMBDATALK.eval_sexprs('{date}');
        }, 1000 );
}
function stop() {
    window.clearInterval(document.chrono);
}
\bullet\bullet}
time: 2014 08 14 12 30 30
start stop
```

Note: for the sake of security, the input and script functions don't accept external links.

### 2.2.4. plugins

Lambdatalk can call more complex scripts stored in the "plugins" folder and executed interactively in the wiki page. For instance, it's possible to compute Ray Tracing, 3D shapes, fractals, ...


Spreadsheets are known to be a good illustration of the functional approach. It's possible to insert a spreadsheet in a wiki page and to make some calculus in it. For instance, the symbolic-expression $\{+$ \{lc 24$\}\{\mathbf{l c} 34\}\{\mathbf{l c} 44\}\}$ written in the cell L6C4 will display the sum of the contents of cells L2C4, L3C4 and L4C4, as it can be seen in the figure below:

| $\bigcirc$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Save datas (before leaving the page !) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| 1 | DESCRIPTION | QUANTITY | PRICE | Q*P |
| 2 | ITEM 1 | 10 | 20 | 200 |
| 3 | ITEM 2 | 30 | 40 | 1200 |
| 4 | ITEM 3 | 50 | 60 | 3000 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | TOTAL NET | 4400 |
| 7 |  | TAX | 0.20 | 880 |
| 8 |  |  | TOTAL WITH TAX | 5280 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |

alphawiki can be considered as a stack of pages. In the same way, a spreadsheet embedded in a page can be viewed as a grid of micro-pages with all the lambdatalk's capabilities. We are going to see in the level 2 that these capabilities can be extended grace to three powerful special forms.

## 3. CODING LAMBDATALK (level 2)

lambdatalk comes with the smallest set of 3 special forms allowing to code:

## if, lambda, def

1) \{if boolean then TRUE_term else FALSE_term\} allows alternative evaluation according to a given boolean values,
2) \{lambda \{:arguments\} s-expression\}
allows binding in symbolic-expressions unknown terms to future values via a set of arguments; a kind of delayed evaluation,
3) \{def name value\}
allows giving a name to constants, evaluable symbolicexpressions and functions.

As we are going to see, with these 3 special forms, lambdatalk has first class functions, functions can be recursive, called partially, nestable and used for local variables.

### 3.1 JS INTERPRETER (level 2)

De facto, the symbolic-expressions built on the 3 special forms contain unknown terms. For instance in \{def myPI 3.1416\} the term myPI is unknown and the symbolic-expression can't be evaluated by the simplified evaluate() function shown previously. These special forms must be handled in a preprocessing phase before the evaluation loop.

### 3.1.1 evaluate() function (complete)

```
function evaluate(str) {
    str = preprocessing( str );
    str = eval_special_forms('if', str);
    str = eval_special_forms('lambda', str);
    str = eval_special_forms('def', str, true);
    str = eval_sexprs( str );
    str = postprocessing( str );
    return str;
}
```

Remember that the greyed lines belong to the level 1.

### 3.1.2 evaluating sequence of S-expressions built with if, lambda and def

The eval_special_forms() function catches symbolic-expressions built on special forms if, lambda, def and replaces them in the code string by their value or by symbolic-expressions evaluated
when all the missing values are given.

```
function eval_special forms(form, str, flag){
    while (true) {
    var s = catch sexpression(form, str);
    if (s === 'none') break;
    switch (form) {
        case 'if':
            str = str.replace('{if '+s+'}',
                                    eval_if(s.trim()));
        break;
        case 'lambda':
            str = str.replace('{lambda '+s+'}',
                                    eval_lambda(s.trim()));
        break;
        case 'def':
            str = str.replace('{def '+s+'}',
                                    eval_def(s.trim(),flag));
        break;
        }
}
return str;
}
```


### 3.1.3 catching an $S$-epxression in the wiki-code string

The catch_sexpression() function catches the symbolicexpressions according to the given symbol if, lambda, def.

```
function catch_sexpression(symbol, str) {
    symbol = '{' + symbol + ' ';
    var start = str.indexOf( symbol );
    if (start == -1) return 'none';
    var long = symbol.length, nb=1, index=start;
    while(nb > 0) {
    index++;
    if ( str.charAt(index) == '{' ) nb++;
    else if ( str.charAt(index) == '}' ) nb--;
}
return str.substring( start+long, index );
}
```


### 3.1.4. eval_lambda()

We remember that the evaluate() function used a Regular Expression to replace the symbolic-expressions by their values. The eval_lambda() function does the same:

- The eval_lambda() function builds a function with a list of arguments and a body and stores it in the dictionary under a randomized name, i.e. lambda_1234.
- When called with some values, this function will use the arguments' names as Regular Expression patterns to replace in the body the arguments' occurences by the corresponding values.
- It will return a symbolic-expression, a value, a word or a number.
Two cases are to be considered depending on number of values:
- if number of values < number of arguments: it memorizes the given values and returns the name of a function waiting for the missing values,
- else: the symbolic-expression contains evaluable terms and will be returned to the main loop for evaluation, extra values are just ignored.

```
var eval_lambda = function (s) {
s = eval__special_forms( 'lambda', s );
var name = 'lamb\overline{da_' +}
        Math.floor(Math.random()*10000),
    args = s.substring(1, s.indexOf('}'))
        .trim().split(' '),
    body = s.substring(s.indexOf('}')+1)
        .trim();
dico[name] = function () {
    var vals = arguments[0].split(' ');
    return function (bod) {
```

```
    if (vals.length < args.length) {
    for (var i=0; i < vals.length; i++)
        bod = bod.replace(RegExp( args[i],
                'g'), vals[i] );
        var _args = args.slice(vals.length)
                .join(' ');
        bod = eval_special_forms('lambda',
            {lambda {'+_args+'}'+bod+'}');
    } else {
    for (var i=0; i < args.length; i++)
        bod = bod.replace(RegExp( args[i], 'g'),
                vals[i] );
    }
    return bod;
    }(body);
};
return name;
};
```


### 3.1.5. eval_def()

The def special form extends the dictionary with user functions; it gives names to constants, to evaluable symbolic-expressions and to lambdas. User function's names are given before the main evaluation loop and so can be called by any symbolic-expressions anywhere in the page.

```
var eval_def = function (s, flag) {
    s = eval_special_forms( 'def', s, false );
    var name = s.substring(0, s.indexOf(' ')).trim()
        body = s.substring(s.indexOf(' ')).trim();
    dico[name] = (dico.hasOwnProperty(body))?
    dico[body] :
    function () { return body };
delete dico[body];
return (flag)? name : '';
};
```


### 3.1.6. eval_if()

The if special form is twinned with an _if_ function belonging to the dictionary, in a deactivation/reactivation process.

### 3.1.6.1 deactivation

The if special form returns a modified symbolic-expression where if is replaced by _if_ and where the then_term AND the else_term are deactivated.

```
var eval_if = function( s ) {
s = eval_special_forms( 'if', s );
var index1 = s.indexOf( 'then' ),
        index2 = s.indexOf( 'else' ),
        bool = s.substring(0,index1).trim(),
        then_term = s.substring(index1+5,index2).tri
        else_term = s.substring(index2+5).trim();
then_term = then_term.replace(/{/g, '&123,')
    .replace(/}/g, '&125,');
else_term = else_term.replace(/{/g, '&123,')
                        .replace(/}/g, '&125,');
return '{_if_ ' + bool + ' then ' +
        then_te\overline{rm + ' else ' + else_term + '}';}
};
```


### 3.1.6.2 reactivation

The _if_ function returns a modified symbolic-expression where the then_term OR the else_term is reactivated according to the bool_term value.

```
dico['_if_'] = function () {
var s = arguments[0],
        index1 = s.indexOf( 'then' ),
        index2 = s.indexOf( 'else' ),
        bool_term = s.substring(0,index1).trim(),
        else_term = s.substring(index2+5).trim(),
        r = (bool term === "true")?
```

        then term \(=\) s.substring(index1+5,index2).trim( Have seen that lambdatalk uses the arguments' names as a
    ```
                then_term : else term
return r.trim().replace( /&123,/g, '{' )
        .replace( /&125,/g, '}' );
```

\};

Note: in the HTMLentities "\&123," and "\&125," the "," character is of course to be replaced by ";".

### 3.2. Coding lambdatalk

Grace to a reduced set of 3 special forms [if, lambda, def], lambdatalk becomes a programmable programming language.

- 1) selections can be done according to boolean values,
- 2) user functions can be built to bind in S-expressions future values to arguments,
- 3) and the dictionary can be extended by user functions.

This section presents some examples illustrating these capabilities.

### 3.2.1 constants

### 3.2.1.1 scalars

```
{def myPI 3.1416}
{myPI} is the value pointed by PI
{def my2PI {* 2 {myPI}}}
{my2PI}
myPI
3.1416 is the value pointed by PI
my2PI
6.2832
```

Note that, contrary to the classics of LISP dialects, writing myPI displays myPI and NOT 3.1416. The name of a constant must be considered as a pointer to a content. It's happy in a wiki context and leads to some interesting properties, for instance arrays.

### 3.2.1.2 arrays

```
{def V 0.123 0.456}
V's content = [{V}]
V's length = {length {V}}
v[0] = {nth 0 {V}}
V[1] = {nth 1 {V}}
V[2] = {nth 2 {V}}
norm(V) = {sqrt {+
    {* {nth 0 {V}} {nth 0 {V}}}
    {* {nth 1 {V}} {nth 1 {V}}}}}
```

1: V
1: V's content $=\left[\begin{array}{ll}0.123 & 0.456\end{array}\right]$
2: V's content $=$ [
$4: \mathrm{V}[0]=0.123$
$5: \mathrm{V}[1]=0.456$
6: V[2] = undefined // V's length is 2!
7: norm(V) $=0.4722975756871932$

In the previous example $\mathbf{V}$ is defined as a list of two numerical values. Grace to the primitive nth V can be considered as an array with length $=2$ and on which some vector algebra can be done, for instance computing the norm of a vector. In the same way, polynoms, complex numbers, and some others array structures can be defined. But lambdatalk knows nothing about arrays and other structures. The type of the value depends on the context and on the functions designed upon them by the coder. It's up to the coder to do that!

- more to see on arrays, vectors, complex numbers in [ARRAY]
- some reflexions about Object Oriented Programing in [OOP].


### 3.2.2 lambdas

We Have seen that lambdatalk uses the arguments' names as a
Regular Expression pattern to replace the arguments occurences by the given values in the body's string. To avoid undesirable
replacements, arguments' names must be prefixed by some distinctive char, i.e. a colon ":".

### 3.2.2.1 anonymous lambdas

```
1: defining a lambda with two arguments:
\{lambda \{: a :b\}
    \{sqrt \{+ \{* :a :a\} \{* :b :b\}\}\}\}
2: calling it with one value:
\{\{lambda \{:a :b\}
    \{sqrt \(\{+\) \{* :a :a\} \{* :b :b\}\}\}\} 3\}
3: calling it with one value then with another:
\{\{\{lambda \{:a :b\}
    \{sqrt \{+ \{* :a :a\} \{* :b:b\}\}\}\} 3\} 4\(\}\)
4: calling it with two values:
\{ \{lambda \{: a : b\}
    \{sqrt \{+ \{* :a :a\} \{* :b :b\}\}\} 3 4\}
```

```
lambda_7908
lambda_8897
5
```

4: 5

### 3.2.2.2 giving a name to a lambda

```
{def hypo
    {lambda {:a :b}
        {sqrt {+ {* :a :a} {* :b :b}}}
}}
hypo(3,4) is equal to {hypo 3 4} - > 5
```


### 3.2.2.3 a local var created with an inside lambda call

```
{def roundto
    {lambda {:x :d}
        {{lambda {:x :p}
            {/ {round {* :x :p}} :p}
        } :x {pow 10 :d}}
}}
{def print
    {lambda {:i}
        {br}roundto(PI,:i) = {roundto {PI} :i}
}}
{map print {serie 0 5}
```

```
roundto(PI,0) = 3
roundto(PI,1) = 3.1
roundto(PI,2) = 3.14
roundto(PI,3) = 3.142
roundto(PI,4) = 3.1416
roundto(PI,5) = 3.14159
roundto(PI,6) = 3.141593
roundto(PI,7) = 3.1415927
roundto(PI,8) = 3.14159265
roundto(PI,9) = 3.141592654
```


### 3.2.2.4 the quadratic equation

Words and numbers can easily be mixed, without any string quotation or any special printing format. This is an example giving the roots of a quadratic equation $\mathbf{a x}{ }^{2}+\mathbf{b x}+\mathbf{c}=\mathbf{0}$ :

```
{def equation
    {lambda {:a :b :c}
        {{lambda {:a :b :c :d}
discriminant = :d
        {if {> :d 0} then
2 real roots :
        x1 = {/ {- {- :b} {sqrt :d}} {* 2 :a}}
        x2 = {/ {+ {- :b} {sqrt :d}} {* 2 :a}}
        else {if {= :d 0} then
1 double real root :
        x = {/ {- :b} {* 2 :a}}
        else
```

```
2 complex roots :
    x1 = [{/ {- :b} {* 2 :a}} ,
        -{/ {sqrt {- :d}} {* 2 :a}}]
    x2 = [{/ {- :b} {* 2 :a}} ,
        +{/ {sqrt {- :d}} {* 2 :a}}]
    }}
    } :a :b :c {+ {* :b :b} {* 4 :a :c}}}
}}
```

\{equation 1 -1 1 \} ->
discriminant $=5$
2 real roots :
$\mathrm{x} 1=-0.6180339887498949$
$\mathrm{x} 2=1.618033988749895$
\{equation 1 -2 1 \} ->
discriminant $=0$
1 double real root :
$\mathrm{x}=1$
\{equation $11-1$ \} ->
discriminant = -3
2 complex roots :
$\mathrm{x} 1=[-0.5$, -0.8660254037844386$]$
$\mathrm{x} 2=[-0.5,+0.8660254037844386]$

### 3.2.3 recursive

Two approaches, the naïve and the fast/tail recursion.

### 3.2.3.1 basic recursion

```
\{def fac
    \{lambda \{:n\}
        \{if \(\{<\) : \(n 1\}\)
        then 1
        else \(\{*: n\) \{fac \(\{-: n 1\}\}\}\}\}\)
\(1 * \ldots * 6=\{\) fac 6\(\}=720\)
```


### 3.2.3.2 tail recursion

```
{def ifac
    {lambda {:result :n}
        {if {< :n 1}
        then :result
        else {ifac {* :result :n} {- :n 1}}
} }}
1*...*21 = {ifac 1 21} = 51090942171709440000
```


### 3.2.3.3 pascal coefficients $C(n, p)=C(n-1, p-1) * n / p$

```
{def pascal
    {lambda {:n :p}
        {if {or {< :n 2} {< :p 1}}
        then 1
        else {/ {* :n {pascal {- :n 1} {- :p 1}}} :F
} } }
{def pascal_line
        {lambda {:n :p}
        {if {< :p 0}
        then.
        else {pascal :n :p} {pascal line :n {- :p 1}
} } }
{map {lambda {:n} {br}{pascal_line :n :n}}
                            {serie 0 12}}
```

```
1.
```

1. 

1 1.
1 1.
1 2 1 .
1 2 1 .
1
1
14 6 4 1 .
14 6 4 1 .
1 5
1 5
1 6 15 20 15 6 1.

```
1 6 15 20 15 6 1.
```

```
1 7 7 21 35 35 21 7 1 1.
1 8 28 56 70 56 28 8 1
1
1 10 45 120 210 252 210}120 45 10 1,
1
1 12 66 220 495 792 924 792 495 220
```


### 3.2.3.4 some web design

```
{def fac
    {lambda {:n}
        {if {< :n 1} then 1 else {* :n {fac {- :n 1}}}
}}}
{def postits
    {lambda {:n}
        {div {@ style="
        font:bold {/ :n 3}em georgia;
        background:rgb({* :n 25},{- 250 {* :n 25}},0);
        border:1px solid white;
        text-align:center;
        -webkit-transform:rotate(:ndeg);
            -moz-transform:rotate(:ndeg);
                            transform:rotate(:ndeg);
            "}:n! = {fac :n}
} } }
{map postits 0}
```

displays:


### 3.2.4 formulas

As long as the mathML tags won't be recognized by Chrome, lambdatalk can be used to display formulas.

### 3.2.4.1 defining some lambdatalk functions

```
{def numero
    {lambda {:n} {div {@ style=
float:right; font-size:12px;"}:n}}}
{def radicand {@ style=
    "text-decoration:overline;"}}
{def quotient {lambda {:h}
    {@ style="display:inline-block;
```

```
text-align:center;
font-size::hem;
vertical-align:-0.8em;"}}}
{def quotient_line
{lambda {:w} {div {@ style="
border-top:1px solid
height:0px; width::wpx;"}}}}
```

```
numero
radicand
quotient
quotient_line
```


### 3.2.4.2 using these functions to display formulas

$\mathrm{x}=\{\operatorname{div}$ \{quotient 1.0$\}$
\{div -b $\pm$
$\sqrt{ }\{$ span $\{$ radicand $\}$ b\{sup 2$\}-4 a c\}\}$
\{quotient line 100\}
\{div 2a\}\}
\{numero 1.1\}
$\Delta \mathrm{f}(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{z})=$ \{div \{quotient 1.0$\}$
$\{d i v \quad \partial\{\sup 2\} f(x, y, z)\}$
\{quotient_line 60\} \{div $\partial x\{$ sup 2$\}\}\}$

+ \{div \{quotient 1.0$\}$
$\{\operatorname{div} \partial\{\sup 2\} f(x, y, z)\}$
\{quotient_line 60\} \{div $\partial y\{s u p ~ 2\}\}\}$
+ \{div \{quotient 1.0$\}$
$\{\operatorname{div} \partial\{\sup 2\} f(x, y, z)\}$
\{quotient_line 60$\}$ \{div $\partial z\{$ sup 2$\}\}$
\}
\{numero 1.2 \}

$$
\begin{gather*}
x=\frac{-b \pm \sqrt{b^{2}-4 a c}}{2 a} \\
\Delta f(x, y, z)=\frac{\partial^{2} f(x, y, z)}{\partial x^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f(x, y, z)}{\partial y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} f(x, y, z)}{\partial z^{2}}
\end{gather*}
$$

### 3.2.5 recursion vs composition

In order to compare the recursion and the compose methods, we Compute the first derivees of the cubic function via recursion and via composition.

### 3.2.5.1 recursion

The recurrent relation giving the pth finite difference is used to write an approximation of the derivee:

```
\mp@subsup{\Delta}{}{p}}f(x)=\mp@subsup{\Delta}{}{p-1}f(x+dx)-\mp@subsup{\Delta}{}{p-1}f(x
{def rD
{lambda {:n :func :x :dx}
    {if {< :n 1}
        then
            {:func :x}
        else
{/ {- {rD {- :n 1} :func {+ :x :dx} :dx}
                            {rD {- :n 1} :func :x :dx}} :dx}
} } }
{def cubic {lambda {:x} {* :x :x :x}}}
{round {rD 0 cubic 2 0.001} - > 8
{round {rD 1 cubic 2 0.001} -> 12
{round {rD 2 cubic 2 0.001} -> 12
{round {rD 3 cubic 2 0.001} -> 6
{round {rD 4 cubic 2 0.001} -> 0
```


### 3.2.5.1 composition

lambdatalk functions can be partially called. Writing derivees of any order is straightforward.

[^0]```
    {lambda {:f :x}
    {/ {- {:f {+ :x 0.01}}
            {:f {- :x 0.01}} } 0.02}
}}
{def cubic {lambda {:x} {* :x :x :x}}}
{round {cubic 2}} -> 8
{round {{cD cubic} 2}} -> 12
{round {{CD {CD cubic}} 2}} -> 12
{round {{CD {CD {CD cubic}}} 2}} -> 6
{round {{CD {CD {CD {CD cubic}}}} 2}} -> 0
```


### 3.2.6 1stClassFunc

According to rosettacode.org, lambdatalk has First Class Functions:

> A language has first-class functions if it can do each of the following without recursively invoking a compiler or interpreter or otherwise metaprogramming:
> - Create new functions from preexisting functions at run-time
> - Store functions in collections
> - Use functions as arguments to other functions
> - Use functions as return values of other functions

Example:

```
1) add cube and cuberoot user functions,
2) store sin, cos, cube in the "array" fun
3) store asin, acos, cuberoot in "array" inv
4) define compose(f,g,x) as f(g(x))
5) display compose(fun[i],inv[i],0.5)
for i in [0,2]
6) The result must be always 0.5,
within the limits of computational accuracy.
```

```
{def cube
    {lambda {:x} {pow :x 3}}}
{def cuberoot
    {lambda {:x} {pow :x {/ 1 3}}}}
{def compose
    {lambda {:f :g :x} {:f {:g :x}}}}
{def fun sin cos cube}
{def inv asin acos cuberoot}
{def display
    {lambda {:i}
        {br}{compose {nth :i {fun}}
                                {nth :i {inv}} 0.5}
}}
{map display {serie 0 2}}
->
0.49999999999999994
0.5000000000000001
0.5000000000000001
```


### 3.2.7 let \& set!

It was a choice to limit the set of special forms to 3 . Sometimes we need more functionalities!

### 3.2.7.1 let

There is NO let special form. This Lisp's standard sugar form can be easily replaced by lambdas. For instance, the area of a triangle [a,b,c] is given by this formula:

```
Let a,b,c be the sides of a triangle,
then area = sqrt[s*(s-a)*(s-b)*(s-c)]
```

It's a good thing to compute once the value s used 4 times :

```
{def triangle
    {lambda {:a :b :c}
        {{lambda {:a :b :c :s}
        {sqrt {* :s {- :s :a}
                                {- :s :b}
                                {- :s :c}}}
        } :a :b :c {/ {+ :a :b :c} 2}}
}}
```

```
the area of triangle [3,4 5] is
```

\{triangle 345$\}$-> 6

### 3.2.7.2 set!

There is NO set! special form. Lambdatalk chose to follow the pure functional programming paradigm. But, in case of necessity, the lisp lambdatalk function can embed calls to a tiny but true LISP interpreter, lambdalisp, included in the "plugins" folder which was written following Peter Norvig Python Lisp's implementation. With lambdalisp, we can do that inside the page:

```
{lisp
(define make-account
    (lambda (balance)
        (lambda (amt)
            (begin
                (set! balance (+ balance amt))
                balance
    ) ) )
}
    {lisp (define al (make-account 100))}
    {lisp (a1 -20)} -> 80
    {lisp (a1 -20)} -> 60
    {lisp (a1 -20)} -> 40
    {lisp (a1 -20)} -> 20
{lisp (a1 -20)} -> 0
\ {lisp (a1 -20)} -> {u oops}
```

Note the standard parentheses () instead of the curly braces.

### 3.2.8 more

We don't forget that we are in a wiki context, where text/code is entered and evaluated in real time, and error messages are not welcome!

### 3.2.8.1 lambdatalk accepts a number of values $\neq$ number of arguments

We have seen that functions can be called with any number of arguments (curry, partial application). This makes things easy, for instance :

```
{def boo {lambda {:a :b} {+ :a :b}}} -> boo
    // OK, it's a function waiting for 2 values
{boo} -> lambda_6195
    // OK, it's a function waiting for 2 values
{boo 1} -> lambda_3262
    // it's a function waiting for 1 value
{boo 1 2} -> 3
    // OK, it's called with two values
{{boo 1} 2} -> 3
        // OK, it's called in two steps
{boo 1 2 3} -> 3
    // OK, no matter with extra values
```


### 3.2.8.2 syntax errors are ignored

lambdatalk is permissive and it's very useful in a wiki context. For instance :

```
{oops yep hip} -> {oops yep hip}
```

No matter the fact that oops is not a known function, or yep or hip are unknown values, lambdatalk returns the symbolic-expression, as it is, unevaluated, just with blue-colored curly braces!

### 3.2.8.3 some alternate simplified notations (a kind of level 0 for beginners)

Beginners don't like symbolic-expressions! Because titles, paragraphs and ordered/unordered lists are blocks between two carriage returns, they can be written without curly braces via easy alternative forms: _h1,_p,_ul,_ol, for instance:

- $\{$ h1 TITLE $\}$ can be replaced by _h1 TITLE CR
- \{p some text $\}$ can be replaced by _p Some text CR
- \{ul \{li unordered list item\}\} can be replaced by _ ul unordered list item CR
- \{ol \{li ordered list item\}\} can be replaced by _ol ordered list item CR
Links are not forgotten and can be written using a standard Markdown syntax:
- \{a \{@ href="?view=Introduction"\}Introduction $\}$ can be replaced by [ [Introduction] ],
- \{a \{@ href="http://www.pixar.com/"\}PIXAR\} can be replaced by [ [PIXAR/http://www.pixar.com/] ],


### 3.2.8.4 quoting, comments, locking

- Lambdatalk doesn't need any quote special form. To display a symbolic-expression unevaluated as it is, write this:
${ }^{00}$ \{first rest ${ }^{00}$
- To hide blocks of any text write this :

$$
{ }^{000} \text { THIS IS A COMMENT }{ }^{000}
$$

- To temporarily lock the page code evaluation, for instance in a page with long time evaluation, just unbalance curly braces.


## 4. CONCLUSION

We have seen the both sides of the interpreter, the underlying engine and the syntax. We have highlighted two steps, one for the user, the other for the coder.

- 1) The lambdatalk syntax is small, simple and easy to be used by any beginner and any web-designer.
- 2) The underlying JS code is small, simple and easy to be mastered by any JS developer.
- 3) The underlying JS code appears to be fast enough to be usable in the context of webdesign.
- 4) The lambdatalk syntax appears to be powerful enough to follow some more complex developer's experimentations.

With $\alpha$-wiki and $\lambda$-talk, the beginner, the web-designer and the developer benefit from a simple text editor and a common syntax allowing them, in a gentle learning slope and a collaborative work, to build sets of complex and dynamic pages. alphawiki is free, under the Copyleft Licence. This presentation has been made with alphawiki at http://epsilonwiki.free.fr /alphawiki_2.
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[^0]:    \{def cD

